arXiv:1406.3947v2 [math.AP] 1 Aug 2014

Global existence of small amplitude solutions to one-dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon systems with different masses

Donghyun Kim*

August 4, 2014

Abstract: We study the Cauchy problem for systems of cubic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations with different masses in one space dimension. Under a suitable structural condition on the nonlinearity, we will show that the solution exists globally and decays of the rate $O(t^{-(1/2-1/p)})$ in L^p, $p \in [2, \infty]$ as t tends to infinity even in the case of mass resonance, if the Cauchy data are sufficiently small, smooth and compactly supported.

Key Words: Klein-Gordon equation; Mass resonance; Global solution.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L70; 35B40; 35L15.

1 Introduction

This paper is intended as an extension of the paper [6]. We consider the Cauchy problem for a system of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in one space dimension

$$(\Box + m_j^2)u_j = F_j(u, \partial u), \qquad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},\ j = 1, \dots, N, \tag{1.1}$$

with initial data

$$u_j(0, x) = \varepsilon f_j(x),\ \ \partial_t u_j(0, x) = \varepsilon g_j(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},\ j = 1, \dots, N \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Box = \partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2$, $\partial = (\partial_t, \partial_x)$ and $u = (u_j)_{1 \le j \le N}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^N$-valued unknown function of $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. Here the masses $m_1, \dots, m_N$ are positive constants. Without loss of generality, we assume $m_1 \le \cdots \le m_N$ throughout this paper. The nonlinear term $F = (F_j)_{1 \le j \le N} : \mathbb{R}^{3N} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is assumed to be smooth and cubic around the origin, i.e.,

$$F_j(u, \partial u) = O((|u| + |\partial u|)^3) \quad \text{as} \quad (u, \partial u) \to (0, 0).$$

*Seoul National Science Museum. 215, Changgyeonggung-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 110-360, Korea (E-mail: u553252d@alumni.osaka-u.ac.jp)

For simplicity, we always suppose f_j, $g_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. This paper will focus on global existence of the solution for (1.1)–(1.2) and its large-time behavior.

For n-space dimensional cases with nonlinear terms of dth degree (i.e. $F_j(u, \partial u) = O((|u| + |\partial u|)^d)$ near $(u, \partial u) = (0, 0)$), it is well-known that if $d > 1 + 2/n$, there exists a unique global solution u to the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) for sufficiently small data without any restrictions on the nonlinearities and the masses. This result comes from the works [7], [8], [11] and [12] done by Klainerman, Ponce and Shatah. And it was easily verified that if $d > 1+2/n$, the solution is asymptotically free and satisfies the following L^p-decay estimates

$$\sum_{|\gamma|\le 1} \|\partial^\gamma u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(n/2-1/p)} \tag{1.3}$$

for all $t \ge 0$ and $p \in [2, \infty]$ with some positive constant C which does not depend on ε. Note that the condition $d > 1 + 2/n$ can be read as $d > 3$ for one-dimensional case. When $d = 3$ and $N = 1$, Yordanov [18] introduced some kinds of nonlinearities such that the small data solution for (1.1)–(1.2) blows up in finite time. So in general, if the nonlinear term F_j is cubic near the origin, we need some restrictions on F_j to show the global existence of the solution even if the data are sufficiently small. As we will see below, it should be noted that in the case of systems, i.e. when $N \ge 2$, global existence and large-time behavior of the solution are affected by the ratio of masses as well as the structure of nonlinearities.

Let us recall some previous results briefly. Here we concentrate our attention on the case of systems (for the scalar case, we refer the readers to [1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 17, 18] and the references cited therein). Sunagawa showed in [13] that the small solution for (1.1)–(1.2) exists globally and it approaches a free solution under some assumptions on the nonlinearities and the masses (which can be seen as the *mass non-resonance* condition). For example, when $N = 2$ with $(m_1 - m_2)(3m_1 - m_2) \ne 0$, the small data global existence holds for (1.1)–(1.2) and the solution tends to a free solution if $F_1 = F_1(u_2, \partial u_2)$ and $F_2 = F_2(u_1, \partial u_1)$. So we are more interested in the situation that the non-resonance condition fails, i.e. the situation that the phenomenon called *mass resonance* occurs (see §2 for the detail). Normally, in the case of mass resonance, the situation becomes much more complicated; for instance, according to [13], the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = (\partial_t u_1)^2(\partial_x u_2), \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = (\partial_t u_2)^2(\partial_x u_1) \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

blows up in finite time if $m_1 = m_2$, while the solution exists globally and tends to a free solution if $m_1 \ne m_2$ or $m_2 \ne 3m_1$ under some conditions on data. To the author's knowledge, it is still the open problem what happens for (1.4) when $m_2 = 3m_1$.

It should be also noted that the decay estimate (1.3) in one space dimension is not a trivial one for a global small solution of (1.1) in the mass-resonant case. For instance, the following system

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = 0, \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = u_1^3 \end{cases}$$

admits a global solution, but according to [15] (see e.g., [14] for more examples), the second component u_2 does not decay faster than $O(t^{-1/2}\log t)$ in L^∞ if the masses satisfy the

resonant relation (i.e., when $m_1 = m_2$ or $m_2 = 3m_1$). So we must put some structural conditions on the nonlinearities for (1.1)–(1.2) in order to obtain the global solution which satisfies (1.3) in the case of mass resonance.

Fang and Xue [2] introduced some structural conditions on the nonlinearities called the *null condition*, under which (1.1)–(1.2) admits a global solution even in the mass-resonant case when $N = 2$. A pointwise asymptotic profile of the solution also can be found in [2], from which (1.3) follows immediately. But there are still some simple types of nonlinearities which cannot be covered by [2]; for instance, the two component system

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = u_1^2 u_2, \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = u_1^3, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

with the masses satisfying the resonant relation $m_2 = 3m_1$. According to [16], the global small solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = (u_1^2 + u_2^2)u_1, \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = (u_1^2 + u_2^2)u_2 \end{cases}$$

decays like $O(t^{-1/2})$ in L^∞ even in the case of mass resonance (i.e., $m_1 = m_2$), if the data are sufficiently small, smooth and compactly supported. Recently a structural condition on the nonlinearity is investigated in [6] where it is shown that (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique global solution and it decays even strictly faster than a free solution in L^p, $2 \le p \le \infty$ when $m_1 = \cdots = m_N$. For example, the solution of the nonlinear dissipative system

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = -((\partial_t u_1)^2 + (\partial_t u_2)^2)\partial_t u_1, \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = -((\partial_t u_1)^2 + (\partial_t u_2)^2)\partial_t u_2 \end{cases}$$

decays rapidly of the rate $O(t^{-1/2}(\log t)^{-1/2})$ in L^∞ when $m_1 = m_2$, if the data are sufficiently small, smooth and compactly supported.

It is natural to generalize the results of [16] or [6] to our problem (1.1)–(1.2) which covers much more interesting situation of the mass resonance. The aim of this paper is to introduce a new structural condition of the cubic nonlinearities including (1.5) under which (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique global solution and it decays like $O\left(t^{-(1/2-1/p)}\right)$ in L^p, $2 \le p \le \infty$ even in the case of mass resonance, if ε is small enough.

2 Main Results

In order to state the results, let us introduce some notations and assumptions on the nonlinearities. For $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^3$, we write $a \in \mathscr{M}_j$ if

$$m_j = \sigma_1 m_{a_1} + \sigma_2 m_{a_2} + \sigma_3 m_{a_3} \tag{2.1}$$

for some $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \in \{+1, -1\}^3$. Also we denote $\mathscr{S}_j^a$ by

$$\mathscr{S}_j^a = \left\{\sigma \in \{+1, -1\}^3 \ : \ m_j = \sigma_1 m_{a_1} + \sigma_2 m_{a_2} + \sigma_3 m_{a_3}\right\}$$

for $a \in \mathscr{M}_j$. Employing these notations, we suppose that the nonlinear term $F_j(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u)$ is the form of

$$F_j(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = F_j^{\mathrm{c}}(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) + O\left((|u| + |\partial_t u| + |\partial_x u|)^4\right) \tag{2.2}$$

as $(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) \to (0, 0, 0)$, where the cubic homogeneous part of $F_j(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u)$ is assumed to be

$$F_j^{\mathrm{c}}(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{|I|,|J|,|K| \le 1} F_{j,a}^{\mathrm{c},I,J,K}(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) \tag{2.3}$$

and here $F_{j,a}^{\mathrm{c},I,J,K}$ takes the form of

$$F_{j,a}^{\mathrm{c},I,J,K}(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = C_{j,a}^{I,J,K} (\partial^I u_{a_1})(\partial^J u_{a_2})(\partial^K u_{a_3}) \tag{2.4}$$

with some real constants $C_{j,a}^{I,J,K}$. We may call the relation (2.1) with (2.2)–(2.4) *mass resonance* condition for one-dimensional Klein-Gordon systems (see also [13] or [14] for the definition of the mass resonance). Next, we set the upper branch of the unit hyperbola

$$\mathbb{H}_+ = \{\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ \omega_0 > 0, \ \omega_0^2 - \omega_1^2 = 1\}.$$

To state our conditions, we introduce the *reduced nonlinearity* $F^{\mathrm{c,red}} = (F_j^{\mathrm{c,red}})_{1 \le j \le N} : \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ with the masses $m = (m_1, \dots, m_N)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &F_j^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{m_j} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_j^a} \sum_{|I|,|J|,|K| \le 1} F_{j,a}^{\mathrm{c},I,J,K}\left(\mathbb{1}, i\omega_0 m, -i\omega_1 m\right) \sigma_1^{|I|} \sigma_2^{|J|} \sigma_3^{|K|} Y_{a_1}^{(\sigma_1)} Y_{a_2}^{(\sigma_2)} Y_{a_3}^{(\sigma_3)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

for $\omega \in \mathbb{H}_+$ and $Y = (Y_k)_{1 \le k \le N} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, where $\mathbb{1} = (1)_{1 \le k \le N}$, $i\omega_0 m = (i\omega_0 m_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$, $i\omega_1 m = (i\omega_1 m_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$, and $Y_k^{(+1)} = Y_k$, $Y_k^{(-1)} = \overline{Y_k}$. Also we denote by $Y \cdot Z$ the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^N$ for $Y, Z \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and write $|Y| = \sqrt{Y \cdot Y}$ as usual.

Now we state our main results.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume there exists an $N \times N$ positive Hermitian matrix A such that*

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(AY \cdot F^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y)\right) \le 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for all $(\omega, Y) \in \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^N$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique global classical solution. Moreover, it satisfies

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \le 1} \|\partial^\gamma u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p} \le C\varepsilon (1 + t)^{-(1/2 - 1/p)} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $t \ge 0$ and $p \in [2, \infty]$ with some positive constant C which does not depend on ε.

We end this section by giving some examples satisfying the condition (2.6).

Example 2.1. We consider the two component system

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = F_1(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = b_1 u_1^2 u_2, \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = F_2(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = b_2 u_1^3, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

with $m_2 = 3m_1$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_1 b_2 > 0$. For this system, we have

$$F_1^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) = \frac{b_1}{m_1}\overline{Y_1}^2 Y_2, \qquad F_2^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) = \frac{b_2}{m_2} Y_1^3,$$

whence $\mathrm{Im}\left(AY \cdot F^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y)\right) \le 0$ for all $(\omega, Y) \in \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^N$ with $A = \begin{pmatrix} |b_2| m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & |b_1| m_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Example 2.2. We consider the four component system

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = F_1(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = c_1 u_2 u_3 u_4, \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = F_2(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = c_2 u_3 u_4 u_1, \\ (\Box + m_3^2)u_3 = F_3(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = c_3 u_4 u_1 u_2, \\ (\Box + m_4^2)u_4 = F_4(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = c_4 u_1 u_2 u_3, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

with $m_4 = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. Simply we assume that c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 are positive constants. For this system, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) &= \frac{c_1}{m_1}\overline{Y_2 Y_3} Y_4, \qquad & F_2^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) &= \frac{c_2}{m_2}\overline{Y_3} Y_4 \overline{Y_1}, \\ F_3^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) &= \frac{c_3}{m_3} Y_4 \overline{Y_1 Y_2}, \qquad & F_4^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) &= \frac{c_4}{m_4} Y_1 Y_2 Y_3, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(AY \cdot F^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y)\right) \le 0$$

for all $(\omega, Y) \in \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^N$ with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} m_1/(3c_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2/(3c_2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3/(3c_3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_4/c_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the nonlinear terms appearing in both Example 2.1 and Example 2.2 satisfy the condition (2.6) with given mass relations. Thus we can conclude that the small data global existence holds for (2.8) and (2.9). Moreover, their solutions decay like $O(t^{-(1/2-1/p)})$ in L^p, $2 \le p \le \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 2.1. Note that the condition in Theorem 2.1 still holds for (2.9) with more general coefficients $(c_k)_{1 \le k \le 4}$ when $m_4 = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. For example, the same conclusion above is valid for (i) $c_1, c_2, c_3 \ge 0$, $c_4 > 0$ and at least one of c_1, c_2, c_3 is nonzero, (ii) $c_1 = -c_2$ and $c_3 c_4 > 0$, (iii) $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 < 0$, etc. with appropriately chosen A. However, in the case of $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ and $c_4 \ne 0$, Theorem 2.1 fails and we know that the last component $u_4(t)$ does not decay faster than $O(t^{-1/2}\log t)$ in L^∞ according to [15].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we reduce the original problem (1.1)–(1.2) by using hyperbolic coordinates. Section 4 is devoted to getting a suitable a priori estimate, from which the small data global existence in Theorem 2.1 follows immediately. After that, we prove the time decay estimate (2.7) in Section 5 and give some remarks in Section 6. In what follows, all non-negative constants will be denoted by C which may vary from line to line unless otherwise specified.

3 Reduction of the Problem

In this section, we perform some reduction of the problem along the idea of [1] (originated from Klainerman [7]) with a slight modification. In the following, we shall neglect the higher order terms of F_j (i.e. we assume $F_j = F_j^c$) because the higher order terms do not have an essential influence on our problem if we are interested in small amplitude solutions.

First let B be a positive constant which satisfies

$$\operatorname{supp} f_j \cup \operatorname{supp} g_j \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R} \,:\, |x| \le B\}$$

and let $\tau_0 > 1 + 2B$. We start with the fact that we may treat the problem as if the Cauchy data are given on the upper branch of the hyperbola

$$\{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, (t+2B)^2 - x^2 = \tau_0^2,\ t > 0\}$$

and they are sufficiently smooth, small, compactly-supported. This is a consequence of the classical local existence theorem and the finite speed of propagation (see e.g., Proposition 1.4 of [1] for the detail). Next, let us introduce the hyperbolic coordinates $(\tau, z) \in [\tau_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ in the interior of the light cone, i.e.,

$$t + 2B = \tau\omega_0(z), \quad x = \tau\omega_1(z)$$

for $|x| < t + 2B$ where $\omega(z) = (\omega_0(z), \omega_1(z)) = (\cosh z, \sinh z) \in \mathbb{H}_+$. Note that $\omega_k(z)$ satisfies

$$|\omega_k(z)| \le Ce^{|z|}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 0, 1$. Then we can easily check that

$$\partial_t = \omega_0(z)\partial_\tau - \frac{1}{\tau}\omega_1(z)\partial_z, \quad \partial_x = -\omega_1(z)\partial_\tau + \frac{1}{\tau}\omega_0(z)\partial_z, \quad \Box = \partial_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{\tau}\partial_\tau - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\partial_z^2.$$

We also take a weight function $\chi(z) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$0 < \chi(z) \le C_0 e^{-\kappa|z|} \ \text{ and } \ |\partial_z^k \chi(z)| \le C_k \chi(z) \ \ (k = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

with a large parameter $\kappa \gg 1$ and positive constants C_0, C_j. With this weight function, let us define the new unknown function $v(\tau, z) = (v_j(\tau, z))_{1 \le j \le N}$ by

$$u_j(t,x) = \frac{\chi(z)}{\sqrt{\tau}} v_j(\tau, z).$$

Then we see that v_j satisfies $L_j v_j = G_j$ if u solves (1.1), where

$$L_j = \partial_\tau^2 - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\left(\partial_z^2 + 2\frac{\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)}\partial_z + \frac{\chi''(z)}{\chi(z)} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + m_j^2 \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$G_j = \frac{\chi(z)^2}{\tau} F_j^c(v,\, \omega_0(z)\partial_\tau v,\, -\omega_1(z)\partial_\tau v) + Q_j.$$

Here Q_j takes the form of

$$Q_j = \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3=1}^{N} \sum_{\nu_1,\nu_2=0}^{1} \sum_{\substack{0\le\eta_1\le\nu_1\\ 0\le\eta_2\le\nu_2\\ 0\le\eta_3\le 1}} \frac{q_{j,k_1,k_2,k_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3}(z)}{\tau^{2+\nu_1+\nu_2}} \big(\partial_\tau^{1-\nu_1}\partial_z^{\eta_1} v_{k_1}\big)\big(\partial_\tau^{1-\nu_2}\partial_z^{\eta_2} v_{k_2}\big)\big(\partial_z^{\eta_3} v_{k_3}\big)$$

with some $q_{j,k_1,k_2,k_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$|\partial_z^l q_{j,k_1,k_2,k_3}^{\nu_1,\nu_2,\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3}(z)| \le C_l e^{(3-2\kappa)|z|}$$

for $l \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$. As we shall see in (4.4) below, Q_j can be regarded as a remainder, while the first term of G_j plays a role as a main term.

At last, the original problem (1.1)–(1.2) is reduced to

$$\begin{cases} L_j v_j = G_j(\tau, z, v, \partial_\tau v, \partial_z v), \quad \tau > \tau_0,\ z \in \mathbb{R},\ j = 1,\ldots,N, \\ (v_j, \partial_\tau v_j)|_{\tau=\tau_0} = (\varepsilon\tilde{f}_j, \varepsilon\tilde{g}_j), \quad z \in \mathbb{R},\ j = 1,\ldots,N \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\tilde{f}_j, \tilde{g}_j$ are sufficiently smooth functions of z with compact support.

4 A Priori Estimate

This section is devoted to getting an a priori estimate for the solution of the reduced problem (3.2) under the condition (2.6). As in [17], [5] and [6], we set

$$M(T) = \sup_{(\tau,z)\in[\tau_0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} \left(|v(\tau,z)| + |\partial_\tau v(\tau,z)| + \frac{1}{\tau}|\partial_z v(\tau,z)|\right)$$

for the smooth solution $v(\tau,z)$ to (3.2) on $\tau \in [\tau_0, T)$. We will prove the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, there exist $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $C^* > 0$ such that $M(T) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ implies $M(T) \le C^*\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$. Here C^* is independent of T.*

Once this lemma is proved, we can derive the global existence part of Theorem 2.1 in the following way: By taking $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ so that $2C^*\varepsilon_0^{1/2} \le 1$, we deduce that $M(T) \le \varepsilon^{1/2}$ implies $M(T) \le \varepsilon^{1/2}/2$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. Then by the continuity argument, we have $M(T) \le C^*\varepsilon$ as long as the solution exists. Therefore the local solution to (3.2) can be extended to the global one. Going back to the original variables, we deduce the small data global existence for (1.1)–(1.2).

To prove Lemma 4.1, we introduce some lemmas here.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume $M(T) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and let $s \ge 3$, $0 < \delta < 1/3$. Then the estimate*

$$E_s(\tau) \le C\varepsilon^2 \tau^\delta$$

holds for $\tau \in [\tau_0, T)$, where the energy is defined by

$$E_s(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau \partial_z^k v_j(\tau, z)|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial_z}{\tau} \partial_z^k v_j(\tau, z) \right|^2 + m_j^2 |\partial_z^k v_j(\tau, z)|^2 \, dz.$$

We omit the proof of Lemma 4.2 here because it is almost same as that of the previous works ([16], [17] or [6], see also Appendix). □

For the next step, we introduce the $\mathbb{C}^N$-valued function $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{1 \le j \le N}$ by

$$\alpha_j(\tau, z) = e^{-im_j\tau} \left(1 - \frac{i\partial_\tau}{m_j}\right) v_j(\tau, z), \qquad (\tau, z) \in [\tau_0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \tag{4.1}$$

for the solution $v(\tau, z)$ to (3.2).

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumption of Lemma 4.2, we have*

$$\left| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \tau}(\tau, z) \right| \le \frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau}$$

for $(\tau, z) \in [\tau_0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, where α is given by (4.1).

Proof. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \tau} &= -\frac{ie^{-im_j\tau}}{m_j} (\partial_\tau^2 + m_j^2) v_j \\
&= -\frac{ie^{-im_j\tau}}{m_j} \left(G_j(\tau, z, v, \partial_\tau v, \partial_z v) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\partial_z^2 + 2\frac{\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)} \partial_z + \frac{\chi''(z)}{\chi(z)} - \frac{1}{4} \right) v_j \right) \\
&= -\frac{ie^{-im_j\tau}}{m_j} \frac{\chi(z)^2}{\tau} F_j^{\mathrm{c}}(v, \omega_0(z)\partial_\tau v, -\omega_1(z)\partial_\tau v) + \frac{R_j(\tau, z)}{\tau^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$R_j(\tau, z) = -\frac{ie^{-im_j\tau}}{m_j} \tau^2 Q_j - \frac{ie^{-im_j\tau}}{m_j} \left(\partial_z^2 + 2\frac{\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)} \partial_z + \frac{\chi''(z)}{\chi(z)} - \frac{1}{4} \right) v_j. \tag{4.3}$$

Using the assumption $M(T) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{m_j} \left| \frac{\chi(z)^2}{\tau} F_j^{\mathrm{c}}(v, \omega_0(z)\partial_\tau v, -\omega_1(z)\partial_\tau v) \right| \le \frac{C}{\tau} M(T)^3 \le \frac{C}{\tau} \varepsilon^{3/2}.$$

The standard Sobolev embedding and Lemma 4.2 yields

$$\frac{1}{m_j} |Q_j| \le \frac{C}{\tau^2} (|\partial_\tau v|^3 + |\partial_z v|^3 + |v|^3) \le \frac{C}{\tau^2} E_2(\tau)^{3/2} \le C\varepsilon^3 \tau^{3\delta/2 - 2} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{m_j}\left|\left(\partial_z^2+\frac{2\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)}\partial_z+\frac{\chi''(z)}{\chi(z)}-\frac{1}{4}\right)v_j\right|\le C\sum_{k=0}^{2}|\partial_z^k v|\le CE_3(\tau)^{1/2}\le C\varepsilon\tau^{\delta/2}$$

so that

$$|R_j(\tau,z)|\le C\varepsilon\tau^{3\delta/2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Combining all together, we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\partial\alpha_j}{\partial\tau}(\tau,z)\right|\le\frac{C\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\tau}+\frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau^{2-3\delta/2}}\le\frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau},$$

which proves Lemma 4.3. □

Next, we introduce the lemma which will be used to estimate some non-resonant terms appearing in (4.8) below.

Lemma 4.4. *Let the function α be given in* (4.1) *and assume $M(T)\le\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Then for any $k_1,\dots,k_4\in\{1,\dots,N\}$, $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_4\in\{+1,-1\}$ and $b\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$, we have the estimate*

$$\left|\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{4}\alpha_{k_l}^{(\beta_l)}(\tilde\tau,z)\right)\frac{e^{ib\tilde\tau}}{\tilde\tau}\,d\tilde\tau\right|\le C\varepsilon^2$$

where $\alpha_{k_l}^{(+1)}=\alpha_{k_l}$ and $\alpha_{k_l}^{(-1)}=\overline{\alpha_{k_l}}$.

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{4}\alpha_{k_l}^{(\beta_l)}(\tilde\tau,z)\right)\frac{e^{ib\tilde\tau}}{\tilde\tau}\,d\tilde\tau&=\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau}(\partial_\tau U_1)(\tilde\tau,z)+U_2(\tilde\tau,z)\,d\tilde\tau\\&=U_1(\tau,z)-U_1(\tau_0,z)+\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau}U_2(\tilde\tau,z)\,d\tilde\tau,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}U_1(\tau,z)&=\left(\prod_{l=1}^{4}\alpha_{k_l}^{(\beta_l)}(\tau,z)\right)\frac{e^{ib\tau}}{ib\tau},\\U_2(\tau,z)&=\left(\prod_{l=1}^{4}\alpha_{k_l}^{(\beta_l)}(\tau,z)\right)\frac{e^{ib\tau}}{ib\tau^2}-\sum_{l=1}^{4}\left(\left(\partial_\tau\alpha_{k_l}^{(\beta_l)}(\tau,z)\right)\prod_{n\in\{1,2,3,4\}\backslash\{l\}}\alpha_{k_n}^{(\beta_n)}(\tau,z)\right)\frac{e^{ib\tau}}{ib\tau}.\end{aligned}$$

Using $M(T)\le\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$|U_1(\tau,z)|\le\frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\tau},\qquad|U_2(\tau,z)|\le\frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\tau^2}+\frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau}\cdot\varepsilon^{3/2}\cdot\frac{1}{\tau}\le\frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\tau^2}$$

and that

$$\left| \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{4} \alpha_{k_l}^{(\beta_l)}(\tilde{\tau}, z) \right) \frac{e^{ib\tilde{\tau}}}{\tilde{\tau}} \, d\tilde{\tau} \right| \le C\varepsilon^2 \left(1 + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}^2} \right),$$

which proves Lemma 4.4. □

In the next lemma, we will derive a certain system of ordinary differential equations for $\alpha(\tau, z)$ defined by (4.1) regarding z as a parameter.

Lemma 4.5. *For each $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \tau}(\tau, z) = -\frac{i\chi(z)^2}{8\tau} F_j^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega(z), \alpha(\tau, z)) + S_j(\tau, z) + \frac{R_j(\tau, z)}{\tau^2}$$

for $(\tau, z) \in [\tau_0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $F_j^{\mathrm{c,red}}$ and R_j given by (2.5) and (4.3) respectively. Here the non-resonant term S_j is the form of

$$\begin{aligned} &S_j(\tau, z) \\ &= -\frac{i\chi(z)^2}{m_j \tau} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3) \in \mathscr{T}_j^a} \sum_{|I|, |J|, |K| \le 1} \Omega_{j,a}^{I,J,K}(z) \varsigma_1^{|I|} \varsigma_2^{|J|} \varsigma_3^{|K|} \alpha_{a_1}^{(\varsigma_1)} \alpha_{a_2}^{(\varsigma_2)} \alpha_{a_3}^{(\varsigma_3)} e^{i(\varsigma_1 m_{a_1} + \varsigma_2 m_{a_2} + \varsigma_3 m_{a_3} - m_j)\tau} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathscr{T}_j^a = \{+1, -1\}^3 \setminus \mathscr{S}_j^a$ and the coefficients are given by

$$\Omega_{j,a}^{I,J,K}(z) = \frac{1}{8} F_{j,a}^{\mathrm{c},I,J,K}\left(\mathbb{1}, i\omega_0(z)m, -i\omega_1(z)m\right).$$

Proof. For the proof, we introduce a new function $H_j(\theta, z)$ which is defined by

$$H_j(\theta, z) = e^{-im_j\theta} F_j^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{Re}(\alpha e^{im\theta}),\ -\omega_0(z)\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha m e^{im\theta}),\ \omega_1(z)\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha m e^{im\theta}))$$

with α being regarded as a parameter for the moment. Here we denote $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha e^{im\theta}) = ((\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_k e^{im_k\theta}))_{1\le k\le N}$, $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha m e^{im\theta}) = ((\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_k m_k e^{im_k\theta}))_{1\le k\le N}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha m e^{im\theta}) = ((\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_k m_k e^{im_k\theta}))_{1\le k\le N}$ respectively. Then from (4.2), we can easily check that

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \tau}(\tau, z) = -\frac{i\chi(z)^2}{m_j \tau} H_j(\tau, z) + \frac{R_j(\tau, z)}{\tau^2}. \tag{4.6}$$

From now on, we will decompose H_j into the resonant terms and the nonresonant terms. Since F_j^{c} is cubic, we may expand H_j as

$$\begin{aligned} &H_j(\theta, z) \\ &\quad = \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{|I|, |J|, |K| \le 1} F_{j,a}^{\mathrm{c},I,J,K}\left(\mathrm{Re}(\alpha e^{im\theta}),\ -\omega_0(z)\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha m e^{im\theta}),\ \omega_1(z)\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha m e^{im\theta})\right) e^{-im_j\theta} \\ &\quad = \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3 \in \{+1, -1\}} \sum_{|I|, |J|, |K| \le 1} \Omega_{j,a}^{I,J,K}(z) \Psi_1 \alpha_{a_1}^{(\varsigma_1)} \Psi_2 \alpha_{a_2}^{(\varsigma_2)} \Psi_3 \alpha_{a_3}^{(\varsigma_3)} e^{i(\varsigma_1 m_{a_1} + \varsigma_2 m_{a_2} + \varsigma_3 m_{a_3})\theta} e^{-im_j\theta} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k^{(+1)} = \alpha_k$, $\alpha_k^{(-1)} = \overline{\alpha_k}$, and $\Psi_1 = \Psi_1(\varsigma_1, |I|)$ which corrects the sign of α_{a_1} given by

$$\Psi_1(\varsigma_1, |I|) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (\varsigma_1, |I|) = (+1, 0), \\ +1 & \text{if } (\varsigma_1, |I|) = (+1, 1), \\ +1 & \text{if } (\varsigma_1, |I|) = (-1, 0), \\ -1 & \text{if } (\varsigma_1, |I|) = (-1, 1), \end{cases}$$

or simply $\Psi_1 = \varsigma_1^{|I|}$. Similarly $\Psi_2 = \varsigma_2^{|J|}$ and $\Psi_3 = \varsigma_3^{|K|}$. Remembering $a \in \mathscr{M}_j$, we can rewrite H_j as

$$\begin{aligned} &H_j(\theta, z) \\ &\quad = \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_j^a} \sum_{|I|,|J|,|K| \le 1} \Omega_{j,a}^{I,J,K}(z) \sigma_1^{|I|} \sigma_2^{|J|} \sigma_3^{|K|} \alpha_{a_1}^{(\sigma_1)} \alpha_{a_2}^{(\sigma_2)} \alpha_{a_3}^{(\sigma_3)} \\ &\qquad + \sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3) \in \mathscr{T}_j^a} \sum_{|I|,|J|,|K| \le 1} \Omega_{j,a}^{I,J,K}(z) \, \varsigma_1^{|I|} \varsigma_2^{|J|} \varsigma_3^{|K|} \alpha_{a_1}^{(\varsigma_1)} \alpha_{a_2}^{(\varsigma_2)} \alpha_{a_3}^{(\varsigma_3)} e^{i(\varsigma_1 m_{a_1} + \varsigma_2 m_{a_2} + \varsigma_3 m_{a_3} - m_j)\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varsigma_1 m_{a_1} + \varsigma_2 m_{a_2} + \varsigma_3 m_{a_3} - m_j \neq 0$ for $(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3) \in \mathscr{T}_j^a$. Observing

$$\sum_{a \in \mathscr{M}_j} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_j^a} \sum_{|I|,|J|,|K| \le 1} \Omega_{j,a}^{I,J,K}(z) \sigma_1^{|I|} \sigma_2^{|J|} \sigma_3^{|K|} \alpha_{a_1}^{(\sigma_1)} \alpha_{a_2}^{(\sigma_2)} \alpha_{a_3}^{(\sigma_3)} = \frac{m_j}{8} F_j^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega(z), \alpha)$$

and with (4.6), we arrive at Lemma 4.5. □

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Now we are ready to prove Lemma 4.1. First, with the matrix A in Theorem 2.1, we note that

$$|Y \cdot AZ|^2 \le (Y \cdot AY)(Z \cdot AZ), \qquad \lambda_* |Y|^2 \le Y \cdot AY \le \lambda^* |Y|^2 \tag{4.7}$$

holds for any $Y, Z \in \mathbb{C}^N$, where λ^* (resp. λ_*) is the largest (resp. smallest) eigenvalue of A. Using the notations $S = (S_j)_{1 \le j \le N}$, $R = (R_j)_{1 \le j \le N}$, it follows from Lemma 4.5, (2.6), (4.7) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\alpha(\tau, z) \cdot A\alpha(\tau, z) \right) &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\partial_\tau \alpha(\tau, z) \cdot A\alpha(\tau, z) \right) \\ &= \frac{\chi(z)^2}{4\tau} \operatorname{Im} \left(F^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega(z), \alpha) \cdot A\alpha \right) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(S \cdot A\alpha \right) + \frac{2}{\tau^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(R \cdot A\alpha \right) \\ &\le 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(S \cdot A\alpha \right) + \frac{2}{\tau^2} \left| R \cdot A\alpha \right| \\ &\le 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(S \cdot A\alpha \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\alpha \cdot A\alpha + R \cdot AR \right) \\ &\le 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(S \cdot A\alpha \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\alpha \cdot A\alpha \right) + \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\tau^{2-3\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\alpha(\tau, z) \cdot A\alpha(\tau, z) \le C\varepsilon^2 + 2 \left| \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \operatorname{Re} \left(S \cdot A\alpha \right) \, d\tilde{\tau} \right| + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \alpha(\tilde{\tau}, z) \cdot A\alpha(\tilde{\tau}, z) \, \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}^2} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\le C\varepsilon^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \alpha(\tilde{\tau}, z) \cdot A\alpha(\tilde{\tau}, z) \, \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}^2}$$

for $\tau \in [\tau_0, T)$, where we used Lemma 4.4 to estimate the second term of the right-hand side in (4.8). Thus the Gronwall lemma and (4.7) yields

$$\sup_{(\tau,z)\in[\tau_0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} |\alpha(\tau, z)| \le C\varepsilon. \tag{4.9}$$

In view of the relations

$$\left(|v(\tau, z)|^2 + |\partial_\tau v(\tau, z)|^2\right)^{1/2} \le C|\alpha(\tau, z)|$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\tau}|\partial_z v(\tau, z)| \le \frac{C}{\tau} E_2(\tau)^{1/2} \le C\varepsilon\tau^{-(1-\delta/2)} \le C\varepsilon, \tag{4.10}$$

we reach Lemma 4.1. □

5 Proof of the Decay Estimates

Now we are in a position to prove the time decay estimate (2.7) under the condition (2.6). First, we remember that our change of variable is

$$u_j(t, x) = \frac{\chi(z)}{\sqrt{\tau}} v_j(\tau, z) = \frac{\chi(z)}{\sqrt{\tau}} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j(\tau, z) e^{im_j\tau}) \tag{5.1}$$

with $t + 2B = \tau\omega_0(z)$, $x = \tau\omega_1(z)$ for $|x| < t + 2B$, and that $u(t, \cdot)$ is supported on $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \le t + B\}$. So it follows from (4.9) and (5.1) that

$$|u(t, x)| \le \frac{\chi(z)|\alpha(\tau, z)|\omega_0(z)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\tau}\omega_0(z)^{1/2}} \le C\varepsilon(1 + t)^{-1/2}. \tag{5.2}$$

Using (5.2) and the finite propagation speed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} &= \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\{|x|\le t+B\})} \\ &\le C\varepsilon(1 + t)^{-1/2} \left(\int_{|x|\le t+B} 1 \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\le C\varepsilon(1 + t)^{-(1/2-1/p)} \end{aligned}$$

for $p \in [2, \infty]$. Also we note that $\partial_t u_j$ and $\partial_x u_j$ can be written as

$$\partial_t u_j(t, x) = \left(\omega_0(z)\partial_\tau - \frac{1}{\tau}\omega_1(z)\partial_z \right) \left(\frac{\chi(z)}{\sqrt{\tau}} v_j(\tau, z) \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\chi(z)\omega_0(z)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\tau\omega_0(z)}}\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_j m_j e^{im_j\tau}) - \frac{\chi(z)\omega_0(z)}{\tau^{3/2}}\left(\frac{\omega_1(z)}{\omega_0(z)}\partial_z + \frac{\omega_1(z)}{\omega_0(z)}\frac{\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\right) v_j$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x u_j(t,x) &= \left(-\omega_1(z)\partial_\tau + \frac{1}{\tau}\omega_0(z)\partial_z\right)\left(\frac{\chi(z)}{\sqrt{\tau}}v_j(\tau,z)\right)\\ &= \frac{\frac{\omega_1(z)}{\omega_0(z)}\chi(z)\omega_0(z)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\tau\omega_0(z)}}\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_j m_j e^{im_j\tau}) + \frac{\chi(z)\omega_0(z)}{\tau^{3/2}}\left(\partial_z + \frac{\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega_1(z)}{\omega_0(z)}\right) v_j.\end{aligned}$$

Thus using (4.10) and (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|\gamma|=1}|\partial^\gamma u(t,x)| &\le \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\tau\omega_0(z)}} + \frac{C\varepsilon\chi(z)\omega_0(z)^{1+3/2-\delta}}{(\tau\omega_0(z))^{3/2-\delta}} + \frac{C\varepsilon\chi(z)\omega_0(z)^{1+3/2}}{(\tau\omega_0(z))^{3/2}}\\ &\le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1/2} + C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta} + C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2}\\ &\le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1/2}\end{aligned}$$

and from the finite propagation speed, we finally obtain the desired estimate

$$\sum_{|\gamma|\le 1}\|\partial^\gamma u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-(1/2-1/p)}$$

for $p\in[2,\infty]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

6 Further Remarks

We may modify Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 in [6] to our problem (1.1)–(1.2). Actually, by the similar method of [6], we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $F^{\mathrm{c,red}}$ be given by (2.5). Assume there exist an $N\times N$ positive Hermitian matrix A and a positive constant $\widetilde{C}$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(AY\cdot F^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega,Y)\right) \le -\widetilde{C}\omega_0^k|Y|^4 \qquad \forall(\omega,Y)\in\mathbb{H}_+\times\mathbb{C}^N \tag{6.1}$$

for some $k\in\{1,3\}$. Then the global solution of (1.1)–(1.2) satisfies

$$\sum_{|\gamma|\le\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor}\|\partial^\gamma u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p} \le C\frac{(1+t)^{-(1/2-1/p)}}{\sqrt{\log(2+t)}}$$

for all $t\ge 0$ and $p\in[2,\infty]$.

We can find some nonlinearity F which satisfy the condition (6.1) under the mass resonance (except the case of $m_1 = \cdots = m_N$ which can be covered by [6]); for instance, we may set F_j to contain some nonlinear dissipative terms like

$$\begin{cases} (\Box + m_1^2)u_1 = F_1(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = -|\partial_t u|^2 \partial_t u_1 - (\partial_t u_1)^2 \partial_t u_2 \\ (\Box + m_2^2)u_2 = F_2(u, \partial_t u, \partial_x u) = -|\partial_t u|^2 \partial_t u_2 + (\partial_t u_1)^3 \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

with $m_2 = 3m_1$ whose reduced nonlinearities are

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) &= -3i\omega_0^3 m_1^2 |Y_1|^2 Y_1 - 2i\omega_0^3 m_2^2 |Y_2|^2 Y_1 + i\omega_0^3 m_1 m_2 \overline{Y_1}^2 Y_2, \\ F_2^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y) &= -2i\omega_0^3 m_1^2 |Y_1|^2 Y_2 - 3i\omega_0^3 m_2^2 |Y_2|^2 Y_2 - i\omega_0^3 \frac{m_1^3}{m_2} Y_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Im}\left(AY \cdot F^{\mathrm{c,red}}(\omega, Y)\right) \\ &\quad = -3\omega_0^3 m_1^4 |Y_1|^4 - 3\omega_0^3 m_2^4 |Y_2|^4 - 4\omega_0^3 m_1^2 m_2^2 |Y_1|^2 |Y_2|^2 + \omega_0^3 m_1^3 m_2 \,\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{Y_1}^3 Y_2 - Y_1^3 \overline{Y_2}\right) \\ &\quad \le -C\omega_0^3 |Y|^4 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\omega, Y) \in \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^N$ with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the condition (6.1) holds for the system (6.2) in the case of $m_2 = 3m_1$ with $k = 3$. Therefore by Theorem 6.1, we see that the solution of (6.2) and its first-order derivatives decay like $O(t^{-(1/2-1/p)}(\log t)^{-1/2})$ in L^p, $p \in [2, \infty]$ as $t \to \infty$. In this case, however, the ratio of masses (i.e. $m_2 = 3m_1$) does not play an essential role to establish the condition (6.1).

A Appendix : Proof of Lemma 4.2

For the convenience of the readers, we give the proof of Lemma 4.2. Our goal here is to show $E_s(\tau) \le C\varepsilon^2 \tau^\delta$ under the assumption that $M(T) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, where $s \ge 3$ and $0 < \delta < 1/3$. Let us define

$$E_s(\tau; w_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau \partial_z^k w_j(\tau, z)|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial_z}{\tau} \partial_z^k w_j(\tau, z) \right|^2 + m_j^2 |\partial_z^k w_j(\tau, z)|^2 \, dz$$

for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, $m_j > 0$ and a smooth function w_j of $(\tau, z) \in [\tau_0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$. We start with the following energy inequality:

Lemma A.1. *For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ and $l = 0, 1$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} E_s(\tau; w_j) \le \frac{C'}{\tau^{1+l}} E_{s+l}(\tau; w_j) + C E_s(\tau; w_j)^{1/2} \|L_j w_j(\tau, \cdot)\|_{H^s} + \frac{C}{\tau^2} E_s(\tau; w_j)$$

where L_j is given by (3.1) *and $C' = 2 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\chi'(z)|}{\chi(z)}$.*

Proof. First, we observe that the following relation holds:

$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\partial_z^2 + m_j^2\right)\partial_z^k w_j = \partial_z^k L_j w_j + \frac{1}{\tau^{1+l}}\frac{2\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)}\left(\frac{\partial_z}{\tau}\right)^{1-l}\partial_z^{k+l} w_j + \frac{1}{\tau^2}P_k(z,\partial_z)w_j \quad \text{(A.1)}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $l = 0, 1$, where $P_k(z, \partial_z)$ is a k-th order operator with bounded coefficients. Then we can deduce as in the standard energy integral method that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\tau}E_s(\tau; w_j) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j)(\partial_\tau^2\partial_z^k w_j) + \frac{1}{\tau^2}(\partial_z^{k+1} w_j)(\partial_\tau\partial_z^{k+1} w_j) - \frac{1}{\tau^3}(\partial_z^{k+1} w_j)^2 + m_j^2(\partial_z^k w_j)(\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j)\, dz \\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j)(\partial_\tau^2\partial_z^k w_j) - \frac{1}{\tau^2}(\partial_z^{k+2} w_j)(\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j) + m_j^2(\partial_z^k w_j)(\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j)\, dz \\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j||\partial_z^k L_j w_j|\, dz + \frac{C'}{\tau^{1+l}}\sum_{k=0}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j|\left|\left(\frac{\partial_z}{\tau}\right)^{1-l}\partial_z^{k+l} w_j\right| dz \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\sum_{k=0}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j||P_k(z,\partial_z)w_j|\, dz \\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^{s}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j|^2\, dz\right)^{1/2}\|\partial_z^k L_j w_j\|_{L^2} + \frac{C'}{\tau^{1+l}}\sum_{k=0}^{s}\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j|^2 + \left|\left(\frac{\partial_z}{\tau}\right)^{1-l}\partial_z^{k+l} w_j\right|^2 dz \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\sum_{k=0}^{s}\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\tau\partial_z^k w_j|^2 + |P_k(z,\partial_z)w_j|^2\, dz \\
&\le CE_s(\tau; w_j)^{1/2}\|L_j w_j\|_{H^s} + \frac{C'}{\tau^{1+l}}E_{s+l}(\tau; w_j) + \frac{C}{\tau^2}E_s(\tau; w_j),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (A.1) for the second inequality. □

We shall apply the above lemma with $l = 0$, $s = s_0 + s_1 + 1$ and $w_j = v_j$, where s_0 is an integer greater than C' and s_1 is a fixed arbitrary non-negative integer. Here we regard G_j as a function of $(\tau, z, v, \partial_\tau v, \partial_z v/\tau)$ for the moment. Since the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality yields

$$\|G_j(\tau, \cdot, v(\tau), \partial_\tau v(\tau), \partial_z v(\tau)/\tau)\|_{H^s} \le \frac{C}{\tau}M(T)^2 E_s(\tau)^{1/2} \le \frac{C}{\tau}\varepsilon E_s(\tau)^{1/2}, \quad \text{(A.2)}$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}E_{s_0+s_1+1}(\tau) \le \left(\frac{C' + C\varepsilon}{\tau} + \frac{C}{\tau^2}\right)E_{s_0+s_1+1}(\tau) \le \left(\frac{s_0 + \frac{1}{2}}{\tau} + \frac{C}{\tau^2}\right)E_{s_0+s_1+1}(\tau)$$

for sufficiently small ε. Thus the Gronwall lemma yields

$$E_{s_0+s_1+1}(\tau) \le E_{s_0+s_1+1}(\tau_0)\exp\left(\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau}\frac{s_0 + \frac{1}{2}}{\tilde{\tau}} + \frac{C}{\tilde{\tau}^2}\, d\tilde{\tau}\right) \le C\varepsilon^2\tau^{s_0+1/2}.$$

Next, we apply Lemma A.1 with $l = 1, s = s_0 + s_1$. Using the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\tau}E_{s_0+s_1}(\tau) &\le \frac{C'}{\tau^2}E_{s_0+s_1+1}(\tau) + \frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau}E_{s_0+s_1}(\tau) + \frac{C}{\tau^2}E_{s_0+s_1}(\tau)\\ &\le C\varepsilon^2\tau^{s_0-3/2} + \left(\frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau} + \frac{C}{\tau^2}\right)E_{s_0+s_1}(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from the Gronwall lemma that $E_{s_0+s_1}(\tau) \le C\varepsilon^2\tau^{s_0-1/2}$. Repeating the same procedure n times, we have $E_{s_0+s_1+1-n}(\tau) \le C\varepsilon^2\tau^{s_0-n+1/2}$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots, s_0$. In particular we have

$$E_{s_1+1}(\tau) \le C\varepsilon^2\tau^{1/2}.$$

Finally, we again use Lemma A.1 with $l = 1, s = s_1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\tau}E_{s_1}(\tau) &\le \frac{C'}{\tau^2}E_{s_1+1}(\tau) + \frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau}E_{s_1}(\tau) + \frac{C}{\tau^2}E_{s_1}(\tau)\\ &\le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\tau^{3/2}} + \left(\frac{C\varepsilon}{\tau} + \frac{C}{\tau^2}\right)E_{s_1}(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

The Gronwall lemma yields

$$E_{s_1}(\tau) \le C\varepsilon^2\tau^{C\varepsilon}$$

for $\tau \in [\tau_0, T)$. Replacing s_1 by s and choosing ε so small that $C\varepsilon \le \delta$, we arrive at Lemma 4.2. □

References

[1] J.-M. Delort, *Existence globale et comportement asymptotique pour l'équation de Klein-Gordon quasi linéaire à données petites en dimension 1,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.(4), **34** (2001) 1–61. Erratum: Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.(4), **39** (2006) 335–345.

[2] D. Fang and R. Xue, *Global Existence of small solutions for cubic quasi-linear Klein-Gordon systems in one space dimension,* Acta Math. Sin., **22** (2006), 1085–1102.

[3] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, *The initial value problem for the cubic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation,* Z. angew. Math. Phys., **59** (2008), 1002–1028.

[4] S. Katayama, *A note on global existence of solutions to nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in one space dimension,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **39** (1999), 203–213.

[5] Y. Kawahara and H. Sunagawa, *Global small amplitude solutions for two-dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon systems in the presence of mass resonance,* J. Differential Equations, **251** (2011), 2549–2567.

[6] D. Kim and H. Sunagawa, *Remarks on decay of small solutions to systems of Klein-Gordon equations with dissipative nonlinearities,* Nonlinear Anal., **97** (2014), 94–105.

[7] S. Klainerman, *Global existence of small amplitude solutions to nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in four space-time dimensions,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38** (1985), 631–641.

[8] S. Klainerman and G. Ponce, *Global, small amplitude solutions to nonlinear evolution equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983), 133–141.

[9] K. Moriyama, *Normal forms and global existence of solutions to a class of cubic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in one space dimension,* Differential Integral Equations, **10** (1997), 499–520.

[10] K. Moriyama, S. Tonegawa and Y. Tsutsumi, *Almost global existence of solutions for the quadratic semilinear Klein-Gordon equation in one space dimension,* Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, **40** (1997), 313–333.

[11] J. Shatah, *Global existence of small solutions to nonlinear evolution equations,* J. Differential Equations, **46** (1982), 409–425.

[12] J. Shatah, *Normal forms and quadratic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 685–696.

[13] H. Sunagawa, *On global small amplitude solutions to systems of cubic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations with different mass terms in one space dimension,* J. Differential Equations, **192** (2003), 308–325.

[14] H. Sunagawa, *A note on the large time asymptotics for a system of Klein-Gordon equations,* Hokkaido Math. J., **33** (2004), 457–472.

[15] H. Sunagawa, *Large time asymptotics of solutions to nonlinear Klein-Gordon systems,* Osaka J. Math., **42** (2005), 65–83.

[16] H. Sunagawa, *Remarks on the asymptotic behavior of the cubic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in one space dimension,* Differential Integral Equations, **18** (2005), 481–494.

[17] H. Sunagawa, *Large time behavior of solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation with nonlinear dissipative terms,* J. Math. Soc. Japan, **58** (2006), 379–400.

[18] B. Yordanov, *Blow-up for the one-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation with a cubic nonlinearity,* unpublished work, 1995.